

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

SI - MCA: CRP Transition

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: SI - MCA: CRP Transition		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Quality – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
1. a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to animal feeding operations, or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. b. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a designated impaired water body?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
1. c. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a water body?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Conservation – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
2. a. Increase groundwater recharge in identified groundwater depletion areas (http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/rasa/html/TOC.html)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b. Conserve water from irrigation system improvements and result in estimated water savings of at least 5% and saved water will be available for other beneficial uses?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c. Conserve water in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Clean Air: Treatment of Air Quality from Agricultural Sources – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
3. a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b. Reduce green house gases such as methane, nitrous oxide, and volatile organic compounds (VOC)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. c. Increase carbon sequestration?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
High Quality, Productive Soils Erosion Reduction – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
4. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil “T”)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Healthy Plant and Animal Communities Wildlife Habitat Conservation – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
5. a. Benefit threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern as identified in a State wildlife plan?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. b. Retain wildlife and plant benefits on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
High Quality, Productive Soils, Healthy Plant and Animal Communities: Special Environmental Efforts/Initiatives – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
6. a. Eradicate or control noxious or invasive species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. b. Increase, improve or establish pollinator habitat?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. c. Properly dispose of animal carcasses?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. d. Implement an Integrated Pest Management plan?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. e. Implement precision agricultural methods?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Strategic Initiative – Energy Conservation and Sustainable Production Energy Conservation – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
7. a. Reduce energy consumption on the agricultural operation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Business Lines – Conservation Implementation Additional Ranking Considerations - Will the proposed project result in:	
8. a. Implementation of all planned conservation practices within three years of contract obligation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. b. Improvement of existing conservation practices or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is accepted, or will complete an existing conservation system?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Does the applicant meet the following conditions:	
9. a. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract, has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. b. Did the applicant successfully complete any past contract(s) in full compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. c. Is this the applicant's first EQIP application?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
General Questions: Select all that apply.	
1. Does the applicant meet one or more of the following conditions: a. Has the applicant never had an EQIP contract; b. Did the applicant successfully complete any past EQIP contract(s) in full compliance; or, c. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Could this application assist the producer to meet or avoid the need for their animal feeding operations (AFO) to be regulated as a point source under the Clean Water Act and/or Montana Water Quality Act?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Does the proposed project lie in a 6-digit watershed listed on the 303(d)-list identified by NRCS through the determination tool AND does the application propose to address a water quality criteria that is listed as an impairment for the stream?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Is the applicant an active member of a local group conservation effort or plan? (An active member would be someone who attends more than 50% of the group meetings and/or is working with the group coordinator. Conservation Districts are not considered groups for the purpose of this question and are not eligible for the points.)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Does the application include the contracting of cost-shareable management practices?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
CONSERVATION PLANNING: Answer no more than one question with a yes.	
6. Does the applicant use a Resource Management System (RMS) conservation plan developed/re-evaluated within the last 5 years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Does the applicant use a progressive conservation plan developed/re-evaluated within the last 5 years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
PEST MANAGEMENT: Answer no more than one question with a yes.	
8. Does the application include the development and implementation of an integrated pest management plan (IPM) for noxious weeds on the impacted non-cropland acres of the operation? (Herbaceous Weed Control is not eligible for cost-share on cropland.)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Does the application include the development and implementation of a treatment plan for noxious weeds on the impacted non-cropland acres of the operation? (Herbaceous Weed Control is not eligible for cost-share on cropland.)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
CRITICAL SPECIES: Answer no more than one question with a yes.	
10. Does the application benefit critical fish, wildlife, or plant species habitat and assist the producer to meet or avoid the need to be regulated under the Endangered Species Act?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Does the application benefit critical fish, wildlife, or plant species habitat identified as Species of Concern by Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks and the Montana Natural Heritage Program?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Does the application directly benefit fish and/or wildlife species habitat (not covered above)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
POLLINATOR SPECIES: Answer no more than one question with a yes.	
13. Does the application benefit pollinator species through the seeding of pollinator friendly seeding mixes on more than 5 acres of land? (Must be in accordance with Montana NRCS Biology Technical Note Number MT-20, March 2009)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Does the application benefit pollinator species through the seeding of pollinator friendly seeding mixes on ½ to 5 acres of land? (Must be in accordance with Montana NRCS Biology Technical Note Number MT-20, March 2009)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Grazingland: Select all that apply	
15. Does the application directly benefit sage grouse habitat identified by the MT Fish, Wildlife, and Parks?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Does the application result in the implementation of any one of the following innovative technologies? a. Precision agriculture b. Nutritional Balancer (NutBal) c. Grazingland Spatial Analysis Tool (GSAT) d. Rangeland health monitoring e. Certified organic farming or ranching f. On-farm composting g. Feed management h. Alternative Energy	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Does the application include the development and implementation of an NRCS-approved prescribed grazing plan that benefits plant condition, productivity, health, and vigor?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Does the application include fences or herding for the development or improvement of riparian pastures and to manage livestock access to state or tribal waters in accordance with an NRCS-approved prescribed grazing plan that fully considers riparian health?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Does the application include livestock watering facilities to exclude livestock access to state or tribal waters except in accordance with an NRCS-approved prescribed grazing plan that fully considers riparian health?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Does the application include the establishment of improved pastures on cropland for spring and fall grazing?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Does the application include the renovation of improved pastures for spring and fall grazing?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. Does the application include accelerated treatment of native grazinglands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. Does the application include watering facilities in uplands to facilitate improved livestock distribution?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. Does the application include installation of watering facility with consideration for wildlife access?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. Does the application utilize fencing of uplands to facilitate upland grazing?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
Predominant Soil Class - Select one of the following	
1. Predominant soils and Highly Erodible Soils (HEL) and Soil Class V, VI, and/or VII	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Predominant soils and Highly Erodible Soils (HEL) and Soil Class I, II, III, and/or IV	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Predominant soils are Non-Highly Erodible Soils (NHEL)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Predominant Species - Select one of the following	
4. Native species mix is predominant for expired CRP lands contracted.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Tames species mix is predominant for expired CRP lands contracted.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
CRP Acreage Extent - Select one of the following	
6. A portion of expired CRP fields will be fenced to facilitate grazing management.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. All of the expired CRP acres will be fenced to facilitate grazing management.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Planned Cross Fencing - Select one of the following	
8. Cross fencing of expired CRP fields to facilitate grazing 2 fields	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Cross fence of expired CRP field to 3 or more fields to facilitate grazing management	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Additional Questions - Select all that apply	
10. Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment will be applied to expired CRP fields (light treatments such as mowing, harrowing, not chiseling)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Well decommissioning on well in expired CRP field or directly adjacent to expired CRP field controlled by applicant.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Development of reliable water source in expired CRP fields	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. A functional wetland or riparian area/woody draw is contained in CRP field, or is directly adjacent to expired CRP field on land controlled by the applicant.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency:

Local Issues:

State Issues:

National Issues:

Final Ranking Score:

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative:	Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: